

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/506,656		09/03/2004	Hans Lautenschlager	WAS0653PUSA	53PUSA 4812	
22045	7590	12/19/2005		EXAMINER		
BROOKS F	KUSHM.	AN P.C.	ZIMMER, MARC S			
1000 TOWN TWENTY-S				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIEL	LD, MI	48075		1712		
				DATE MAIL ED: 12/19/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				W				
Office Action Summany		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/506,656	LAUTENSCHLAGER ET	AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Marc S. Zimmer	1712					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)	·				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Se	eptember 2004.						
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}$	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)[🛛	Claim(s) 13-25 is/are pending in the application	1.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	 ∑ Claim(s) 19-25 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 13-18 is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
. —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		• • •	1(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents		-(d) or (f).					
	Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	• •						
	application from the International Bureau							
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
			•					
	•							
Aitachment	(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		•				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)	•				
	No(s)/Mail Date 11/03/04.	6) Other:	αιοπι προιιοατίστι (FTO-192)					
		·						

Application/Control Number: 10/506,656

Art Unit: 1712

Claim Objections

Page 2

Claims 13-18 are objected for their very awkward presentation. First of all, the claim is phrased using the unusual Jepsonn format (as reflected by the expression "the improvement comprising") where it doesn't seem to be justified here. Further, as the claim is constructed, it is difficult to ascertain what Applicant regards as the essential steps of their process. As the claim is written, the only essential step is that of "selecting" a material wherein it is implied that the material is added to a crosslinkable silicone composition that is, in turn, coated onto a substrate. However, it is noted that the latter two steps are not expressly stated. Substantial revision of the claim is recommended. Applicant might consider stating the process as, "a process for reducing the formation of aerosol in a crosslinkable silicone composition..."

For the purpose of evaluating the claim against the prior art, it has been assumed that the process is to entail at least (i) adding the organosilicon compound to a crosslinkable silicone coating composition and (ii) spray-coating the composition onto a substrate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1712

In claim 13 is defined a variable "x" for which there is no antecedent basis in the formulae preceding the definition. It is noted, on the other hand, that this variable appears in claim 17 in the description of the starting material (1) from which the antimisting compound is derived by way of its reaction with a hydrosilyl groupterminated linear polydiorganosiloxane.

Likewise, in claim 17, there is no antecedent basis for the mention of R'.

Furthermore, a variable R¹ is defined but does not appear in any of the formulae outlined in claim 17.

Claim Analysis

It is noted for the record that the process disclosed in claim 17 employs a significantly wider number of possible compounds as the antimisting agent than does the process of claim 13. Indeed, claim 13 recites a polymer that would have been derived from at least one compound (1) in formula 17 where "x" and "z" in radical C are equal to one. There is no such requirement in claim 17 and, thus, the polymer may contain only radicals C where "x" and "z" are zero or, alternatively, radicals C where one of x and z are one and the other is zero, or a combination of these scenarios.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. Claims 19-25 are allowable. The one requirement that all of these claims share in common is that the organosilicon compound, a branched siloxane/silalkylene copolymer, is added to a crosslinkable composition. Herzig et al., U.S. Patent # 5,760,145 represents the

Application/Control Number: 10/506,656

Art Unit: 1712

most germane prior art because it describes polymer materials having the structural features belonging to the claimed antimisting additive. This reference fails to anticipate the claims however because the equivalent compound is not being added to a crosslinkable composition. Rather, it constitutes one in a pair of materials that, together, are crosslinkable. That is to say, components (B), (C), and (D) of the composition taught by Herzig do not, by themselves, represent a crosslinkable composition. (The Examiner considered whether or not the composition could potentially crosslink by dehydrogenation-condensation but it doesn't seem that (C) would promote that type of reaction.)

The number of references even mentioning branched siloxane/silalkylene copolymers appears to be fairly limited. Dvornic discloses in column 5 a reaction between 1,2-divinyl-tetramethydisiloxane and a compound adhering to formula (1) in claim 17 where z = 0 and x = 1 but there is no suggestion to add the product of this reaction to a crosslinkable silicone composition. Dow Corning owns a number of patents describing dendrimeric carbosiloxanes that have polymerizable groups for introducing the dendrimer framework as side chains in a vinyl polymer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc S. Zimmer whose telephone number is 571-272-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/506,656

Art Unit: 1712

Page 5

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 12, 2005

Mare Zimmer AU 1711